Fall 2011 University of California, Berkeley Christina Romer David Romer

ECONOMICS 210c/ECONOMICS 236a MACROECONOMIC HISTORY

HANDOUT – SEPTEMBER 14

A Two-Variable VAR

Suppose the true model is:

 $\begin{aligned} x_{1t} &= \theta x_{2t} + b_{11} x_{1,t-1} + b_{12} x_{2,t-1} + \varepsilon_{1t}, \\ x_{2t} &= \gamma x_{1t} + b_{21} x_{1,t-1} + b_{22} x_{2,t-1} + \varepsilon_{2t}, \end{aligned}$

where ε_{1t} and ε_{2t} are uncorrelated with one another, with the contemporaneous and lagged values of the right-hand side variables, and over time.

Rewrite this as:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\theta \\ -\gamma & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{array}{c} x_{1t} \\ x_{2t} \end{array} = \begin{bmatrix} b_{11} & b_{12} \\ b_{21} & b_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{array}{c} x_{1t} \\ x_{2t} \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} \varepsilon_{1t} \\ \varepsilon_{2t} \end{array}$$

or:

$$CX_t = BX_{t-1} + E_t,$$

where

$$C \equiv \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\theta \\ -\gamma & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad X_t \equiv \begin{array}{c} x_{1t} \\ x_{2t} \end{array}, \quad B \equiv \begin{bmatrix} b_{11} & b_{12} \\ b_{21} & b_{22} \end{bmatrix}, \quad E_t \equiv \begin{array}{c} \varepsilon_{1t} \\ \varepsilon_{2t} \end{array}.$$

This implies:

$$X_t = C^{-1}(BX_{t-1} + E_t)$$

= $\Pi X_{t-1} + U_t$,

where $\Pi \equiv C^{-1}B$, $U_t \equiv C^{-1}E_t$.

The "true model" takes the form:

$$CX_t = \sum_{n=1}^N B^n X_{t-n} + E_t,$$

where:

C is K x K, X is K x 1, B is K x K, E is K x 1.

This leads to:

$$X_t = \sum_{n=1}^N \Pi^n X_{t-n} + U_t,$$

where $\Pi^n \equiv C^1 B^n$, $U_t \equiv C^1 E_t$.

Two variables, one lag:

$$y_{t} = b_{11}y_{t-1} + b_{12}r_{t-1} + \varepsilon_{yt},$$
$$r_{t} = \gamma y_{t} + b_{21}y_{t-1} + b_{22}r_{t-1} + \varepsilon_{rt}.$$

The reduced form is:

$$y_t = b_{11}y_{t-1} + b_{12}r_{t-1} + \varepsilon_{yt},$$

$$r_t = (b_{21} + \gamma b_{11})y_{t-1} + (b_{22} + \gamma b_{12})r_{t-1} + (\gamma \varepsilon_{yt} + \varepsilon_{rt}).$$

Suppose the true model is:

$$y_{t} = \theta m_{t} + b_{11}y_{t-1} + b_{12}m_{t-1} + \varepsilon_{yt},$$
$$m_{t} = \gamma y_{t} + b_{21}y_{t-1} + b_{22}m_{t-1} + \varepsilon_{mt}.$$

Long-run impact of a realization of ε_{mt} of +1 on y:

Assume system is such that the impact on m eventually settles down at some level; call this level m_{LR} . Then:

$$y_{LR} = \theta m_{LR} + b_{11} y_{LR} + b_{12} m_{LR}.$$

So: $y_{LR} = 0$ requires $\theta + b_{12} = 0$.

Using the restriction that $y_{LR} = 0$ – intuition: Consider the nonstructural VAR,

$$X_t = \Pi X_{t-1} + U_t.$$

We saw earlier that

$$\begin{aligned} u_{1t} &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\theta \\ -\gamma & 1 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \frac{\varepsilon_{yt}}{\varepsilon_{mt}} \\ &= \frac{1}{1 - \gamma \theta} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \theta \\ \gamma & 1 \end{bmatrix} \frac{\varepsilon_{yt}}{\varepsilon_{mt}}. \end{aligned}$$

So a realization of ε_{mt} of +1 raises u_{1t} by $\theta/(1 - \gamma \theta)$ and raises u_{2t} by $1/(1 - \gamma \theta)$.

From the coefficients of the nonstructural VAR, compute long-run effects of unit shocks to each of u_{1t} , u_{2t} on y. Call these S_1 , S_2 .

Then long-run effect of *m* shock on $y = \theta S_1 + S_2$. Thus, the restriction is $\theta = -S_2/S_1$.

Bernanke and Mihov

$$Y_{t} = B_{0}Y_{t} + \sum_{n=1}^{N} B_{n}Y_{t-n} + \sum_{n=1}^{N} C_{n}P_{t-n} + A^{y}v_{t}^{y},$$
$$P_{t} = G_{0}P_{t} + \sum_{n=0}^{N} D_{n}Y_{t-n} + \sum_{n=1}^{N} G_{n}P_{t-n} + A^{p}v_{t}^{p}.$$

This implies:

$$P_t = \sum_{n=1}^{N} (I - G_0)^{-1} D_n Y_{t-n} + \sum_{n=1}^{N} (I - G_0)^{-1} G_n P_{t-n} + u_t^p,$$

where $u_t^p \equiv (I - G_0)^{-1} A^p v_t^p$.

<u>The policy block</u> (with time subscripts omitted for simplicity – everything is date t):

$$TR = \dots - \alpha FF + v^{D},$$
$$(TR - NBR) = \dots + \beta FF + v^{B},$$
$$NBR = \dots + \phi^{D}v^{D} + \phi^{B}v^{B} + v^{S}.$$

Example 1: $\phi^{D} = \phi^{B} = 0$. Then NBR = ... + v^S, so NBR can be used to measure policy shocks.

Example 2: $\phi^D = 1$, $\phi^B = -1$. Then one can show FF =... - $v^S/(\alpha + \beta)$, so FF can be used to measure policy shocks.